

27.
18.05.2017.
debajyoti

CPAN 257 of 2017
in
W. P. No.26229 (W) of 2016

K. M. Shameem Akhter
Vs.
Shri Sachchidananda Bandopadhyay

Mr. Indranath Mitra
..... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Jaharlal De,
Mr. Shamim-ul-Bari
..... For the State.

Mr. Ratul Biswas,
Mr. Kaushik Chowdhury
..... For the Board.

This contempt application has been filed complaining that this Court's order dated 21st December, 2016 has been violated by not communicating petitioner no.3 the name of the recognized study center where he could be admitted to upgrade his qualification of D.El.Ed. training through ODL mode within a period of four weeks from the date of communication of the order. Annexure 'B' of the contempt petition is the letter of the learned advocate of the petitioner which shows that the aforesaid order was duly communicated to the District Project Officer, Sarva Shiksha Mission, Nadia, the alleged contemnor herein.

Mr. De, learned counsel, who appeared for the State in the writ petition, has entered appearance on the request of this Court and submitted that an online communication has been made on 5th May, 2017 by the Secretary of the West Bengal Board of Primary Education wherefrom it appears that concerned Para Teachers of all districts were requested to contact their respective Sub-Inspector of Schools on 8th May, 2017 at 12-00 noon to know their allotted study centers and date for collection of study materials and to report their medium of instruction. Mr. De submits that since such communication was made online, the petitioner ought to have had the knowledge of the same.

Mr. Mitra, learned counsel, appearing for the petitioner, submits that this was within the knowledge of the petitioner and as per the said communication, his client duly contacted the Sub-Inspector of Schools on the relevant date, but, he has not been given any intimation of the study center.

In view of such submission, the petitioner is given liberty to make the concerned Sub-Inspector of Schools a party to this contempt proceeding and serve a copy of this contempt application together with a copy of the order alleged to have been violated, upon the added alleged contemnor immediately.

Let this contempt application be listed for hearing one week after the Summer Vacation.

This order will not, however, prevent the concerned respondents to communicate the name of the study center to the petitioner no.3.

(Sahidullah Munshi, J.)